S.N.1/~~PUC~~ 9(A)

Endt. Ref. No. 2452-A-32, dated the 12th May, 1932, fr the Collector, C & M S, Bangalore.

encl.(b) to ~~PUC~~ S.N.1 9(A)

(1) The applicant for pension was employed in the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury. He retired from 4-2-1916 on account of the abolition of his post due to the amalgamation of the Treasury with the former Madras Bank.

encl.(a) to ~~PUC~~ S.N.1 9(A)

encl.(b) to ~~PUC~~ S.N.1 9(A)

He has put in a qualifying service of 14 years 9 months and 12 days and will be eligible for a pension of Rs.5-13-0 per mensem. The total pension from February 1916 will be about Rs.1120/-.

~~letter endorsed in~~ S.N.1/~~PUC~~ 9(A)

encl.(a) to ~~PUC~~ S.N.1 9(A)

The applicant states that private difficulties prevented him from applying for his pension so long. But evidently, as is stated in the letter from the ~~Accountant General Madras~~ Officer in charge of the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury to the Collector of Bl. Hagli., ~~to the Treasury Officer, Bangalore,~~ the applicant did not feel the need for his pension all these years.

~~letter endorsed in~~ S.N.1/~~PUC~~ 9(A)

~~H~~

Under Article ~~930~~ 930 C.S.R. a pension from the date of retirement may be sanctioned only when the delay between the date of retirement and ~~and~~ that of application for pension is sufficiently explained.

~~G~~ ~~F~~

Under Article 34 C.S.R. and Part II of Appendix I to C.S.R. the Hon'ble the Resident has power to sanction a pension of this nature.

For orders as to whether the amount of pension due from 4th February 1916 may be sanctioned.

H.D. Saldanha 10.6.32

Rs. 1216

Sgd (2) Any precedent?

applies to ordinary and not to special cases such as the present one. The article applicable to the present case seems to be 931. This article lays down that "if under special circumstances a pension is granted long after an officer has retired, retrospective effect should not be given to it without the special orders of the Government which granted it; in the absence of special orders such a pension takes effect only from the date of sanction". If retrospective effect is to be given to a pension of this kind, it appears that it need not necessarily be from the date of retirement, e.g., in this case, the pensioner can be allowed to draw arrears of pension for, say, 3 years, instead of for 16 years. The article does not indicate the nature of the circumstances in which retrospective effect can be given nor has there been any precedent in this office. Neither the Treasury Officer's letter nor the Collector's endorsement contain any definite recommendation on this point. In order to enable the Hon'ble the Resident to pass orders, the Collector may, if approved, be requested u.o. to state, in consultation with the Financial Adviser, whether the circumstances of this case justify the grant of a pension with retrospective effect and if so, from what date. The circumstances under which the petitioner was selected for retrenchment and why he could not be provided with other employment are factors which might be of help in the investigation of the case. The present financial position of the man may also be enquired into, if considered necessary.

THE COLLECTOR'S BRANCH OFFICE
BANGALORE
21 JUL 1932
No.

(From para. 2)

TO.

The Collector,
C & M Station, Bangalore.

U/O No. 4829

U / O

21st July 1932.

The circumstances in which the petitioner was selected for retrenchment and why he could not be provided with another post, are as follows:-

(5) The cash transactions of the Resident's Treasury were transferred to the Imperial Bank of India in January 1916 and the Treasury strength was considerably reduced. Eight out of eleven posts were abolished and only one out of the eight holders of these posts was provided with another appointment. The remaining seven were either pensioned off, or discharged being ineligible for any pension or gratuity. The applicant was one of the seven for whom no suitable appointment could be provided. The financial position of the applicant is not known but it is understood that he owns some landed property in Salem District and is the son of a Deputy Collector (Retired). I do not think that the applicant has explained sufficiently the enormous delay in submitting his application for pension. I am of opinion that retrospective effect need not be given, that pension may be sanctioned from the date of application.

This note is written in consultation with the Treasury Officer.

OFFICE OF THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE, BANGALORE. 27 JULY 1932 Regd. No. 6701 File No.

23.7.32

The Secretrary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, B'lore.
U.O.No.43 dated 22nd July 1932.

(6) 27/7

(4) 27.7. From Para 6.
The applicant does not explain satisfactorily how he waited 16 years before applying for a pension. We may accept Collector's recommendation and grant pension from date of application.

Resident

23.7.32

The Officer in charge of the Resident's Treasury in forwarding the petition to the Collector did not support the first request but recommended the condonation of the -- deficiency of service by the Collector under Article 423(1) of the Civil Service Regulations as the additional pension involved would be only 7 annas per mensem i.e. Mr. Subba Rao would get Rs. 6-4-0 instead of Rs. 5-13-0. The Collector -- refused to condone the deficiency on the ground that it would result in only a trivial increase in the amount of the pension sanctioned. He also refused to recommend to the Resdt. a reconsideration of his order at S.N.2.

Mr. Subba Rao has now submitted his petition to the Hon'ble the Resident asking for the above two requests. The Collector in forwarding it does not recommend -- reconsideration of the petitioner's requests. If approved he may be informed that his requests cannot be complied with.

Submitted for orders.

S. Singaroyan
10-12-32

11.12.32

Resdt. Yes. He may condone the deficiency of 1 anna in Rs 6.4.0 from date of application only.

15.12

Para 10 ante.

Draft submitted for approval.

(From para)

S. Singaroyan
20-12-32

Revised.

28/12

Sig. & Sir

S.N. 4 of (A) Issued No. 81, dated the 5th January 1933.

S.N. 7 of (A) Letter dated the 16th February 1933, from M. Subba Rao, Byrasendrom, Salem District.

The petitioner may be asked to send his memorial through the usual official channel- vide rule 6 of the Home Department's Memorial Rules.

S.N. 75/J
508/85
(4)

Draft submitted.

(From para)

S. Singaroyan
7-3-33

8/3

Sec. (13) Sir, Is this channel?

7/3

As the petitioner belonged to the Resident's Treasury his petition should be sent to us through the officer in

S.N.9
P.U.C

No. N. Dis.2185-A-33, dated the 1st May 1933 from the Collector, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

A draft to the Government of India which explains itself is submitted for consideration.

Page 278 of the book pointed

Under Article 931 of the Civil Service Regulations it was ~~for~~ open to the Resident to grant or reject the petitioner's request that his pension be sanctioned retrospectively with effect from the date of retirement. It was therefore entirely a matter within his discretion. The memorial in question is a representation against an order passed in the exercise of that discretion. Under paragraph 7(14) of the Memorial Rules published with Home Department Notification No.F.6/7/33-II, dated the 19th June 1933 the Local Government may, at discretion, withhold a petition when it is a representation against a failure to exercise a discretion vested in the Local Government. It seems therefore that it is within the competence of the Hon'ble the Resident to withhold the memorial if he is so disposed. In that event the petitioner should under paragraph 8 of the Memorial Rules be informed of the fact of withholding and the reasons

Enclosure to S.N.9
P.U.C

B

therefor.

(4) There is nothing in the rules regarding the responsibility of Government or heads of offices in the matter of calling attention of pensioners to their rights and claims for a pension. In the case of a non-gazetted officer, for whom a Service Book is* maintained, the rules contemplate that he should make an application for pension.ø He should also submit a statement of his service in the prescribed form to the head of his office. The authority receiving the statement of service, after verifying it, should draw up a formal application for pension,@ in the prescribed form, and send it with the necessary documents to the Audit Officer concerned, who, in turn, submits the papers to the Local Government or other authority competent to sanction the pension.

* Service Books are not maintained for menials but only what is known as "Service Rolls". In their case it is the duty of the Head of the Office to prepare the pension papers.

ø Articles 907 and 930, C. S. R. - page 271 & 277 note the words 'applicant' and 'application' in these articles.

@ This is different from the pensioner's own application referred to above.

* and 910 C S R page 272 and 914 C S R page 273

(5) The present case, however, differs from ordinary cases of pension in that the petitioner did not retire from service in the normal course, but was retrenched and could not be provided with any other suitable appointment. In such circumstances, it would have been appropriate if the head of the office had called upon the petitioner to submit a formal claim for his pension and proceeded to prepare his pension application, although his failure to do so does not absolve

the

To

U.O. No. 55

The Financial Adviser, Bangalore, U.O.

24 January 1934

Please compare Civil Service Regulations articles 390 and 391. Ordinarily, a pension is payable from date of application (Article 930)-the objection of the rule being to prevent unnecessary delays in the submission of applications. The rule may be relaxed by the authority competent to sanction the pension - in this case, the Collector.

But Article 931 requires the orders of the ~~Accountant General~~ Local Govt. - not ~~only~~ mainly that of the authority sanctioning the pension.

In the present instance, the Treasury was, undoubtedly, also responsible for neglect to take steps to have the pension sanctioned. In view of the pensioner's further representation, it is, I think, open to the Resident to revise the original orders and sanction the pension with retrospective effect. There can be, technically, no audit objection to this. But it is just possible that the Accountant General, Madras, who is the Audit Officer, may ~~ask~~ want to know why the pension is now sanctioned with retrospective effect. He may put the question from the "higher audit" point of view, as the revised sanction would mean that Government have to pay in arrears pension for 16 years.



I would therefore suggest that the Accountant General, Madras, may be consulted. He may be simply asked whether under Article 931, Civil Service Regulations, the Resident is not competent to sanction the pension from date of retirement, reconsidering the orders already passed.

5/1/34

The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, B'lore
U.O.No. 69 dated 5th January 1934.

(20) The draft is poor
Bring time today.
Qu
2

File received on
14-2-34 after Secy.
left office.
Skip sent on 15-2-34
but Secy. was otherwise
engaged.

(21) Revised draft submitted for approval.

S. Singaroyan (for [illegible] 17)
24-2-34
P.K.N.
24.2.34

Secy

(22) See Remark's note at para. 11.
Assume that V. Subba Rao would have been entitled to this rate of pension from the date of his retirement. If he had applied for it in proper time?

Qu
26.2.34.

(23) Had Mr. Subba Rao applied for his pension in time he would have been entitled to a pension of Rs 5-13-0. Had he at the same time applied for the Condonation (this was sanctioned in S.N. 4) of a deficiency of 2 months and 18 days in his qualifying service and had this deficiency been Condoned then he would have been entitled to an additional pension of as 7 making a total pension of Rs 6-4-0 per mensem.

x of (A)

Secy
P.K.N.
27.2.34

Letter No.225 dated the 11th May 1932 from the Officer in Charge of the Hon'ble The Resident's Treasury, Bangalore, to the Collector and District Magistrate, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

S.N. 1/a infra

---:O:---

I have the honour to forward herewith, in original, a petition dated 22-1-1932 from Mr. H. Subba Rao, retired clerk, Resident's Treasury. A copy of this office letter No.2753, dated 21-3-1932, to the Accountant General, Madras, and a copy of the latter's reply, dated 6-5-1932, are also forwarded herewith, from which it appears that under Article 931, Civil Service Regulations the sanction of the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore is necessary for granting the pension from the date of retirement. Regarding the delay of over 16 years in submitting the pension claim, the pensioner's application explains the facts. The then Treasury Officers did not perhaps prepare the application as the pensioner was absent. Whatever the reasons, it seems futile at this distance of time to enquire into them and attempt to give an explanation for its non-submission.

× S.N. 1/b infra

& S.N. 1/c infra

2. This office will furnish the information required by the Accountant General regarding points raised at paras 2 and 3 of his objection memo.

3. I request that the orders of the Hon'ble the Resident as regards the date from which pension should be admitted may kindly be obtained and communicated to this office when further action will be taken by me.

4. Roughly, the pension due from February 1916, i.e. for over 16 years is about Rs.1120/- at Rs.5-13-0 per mensem. Evidently the applicant did not feel the need for his pension all these long years.

OFFICE OF THE RESIDENT'S TREASURY, BANGALORE. 17 MAY 1932 Regd. 4553 208/32

Ref.No.2452-A-32 Enc.3.

Copy with enclosures forwarded to the Secretary to the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore for favour of orders, with reference to para 3 above. Attention is invited to Article 930 & 931 C.S.R.

Office of the Collector,
C. & M. Station, Bangalore,
Dated the 12th May 1932.

COLLECTOR.

Read 11/5 8/6

DESPATCH 13 MAY

1/2

Byrasandram,
Bagalur Post,
Salem District,
22nd January, 1932.

To

The Officer in charge of the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury,

B a n g a l o r e.

Honoured Sir,

I respectfully beg to bring the following to your Honour's kind and favourable consideration with full hopes of success.

I was working in the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury, Bangalore, from 1895. Owing to my father's death in 1912, I had to undergo much trouble on account of some landed property left by him near Hosur for which I had to take long leave. In 1916, I had come to join my duty, but the Treasury was amalgamated with the Madras Bank. I was then thrown out of employment and was asked to take pension. I did not take pension as I requested the then officer to provide me with some appointment in any of the offices in the Civil and Military Station. I was not provided with any and owing to several household calamities and other unforeseen circumstances, I could not attend to this as I had to run up and down to Hosur and Krishnagiri to get my affairs settled through the Civil Court. As it took me such a long time, I was not able to apply for my pension also. My service register may be in the office.

I therefore respectfully beg that your Honour will kindly cause my services to be verified and the sanction of the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore obtained for the payment of my pension from the date of amalgamation with a view to protect me from all my calamities and anxieties.

For which act of kindness, I shall ever pray.

I beg to remain,
Honoured Sir,
Your Honour's most obedient servant,

Sd/- H. Subba Rao.

O/C.

B. 22/8/32

MVS.

Copy of letter No.2753, dated 21st March 1932, from the Officer in charge of the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury, Bangalore, to the Accountant General, Madras.

-:0:-

I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of letter dated 22-1-1932 from Mr. H. Subba Rao, Clerk of the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury, who retired from 4-2-1916 on account of abolition of his post and to enquire whether his pension application may be prepared and forwarded to you. His service book is herewith forwarded for reference and return. He has put in a qualifying service of 14 years 9 months and 12 days and will be eligible for a pension of Rs.5-13-0 per mensem, as will be seen from the enclosed history of services. If it is decided to grant the applicant's request for the payment of pension from the date of retirement, it may kindly be stated whether the sanction of the Resident in Mysore or the Collector, Civil and Military Station is necessary under Article 930 and 931 Civil Service Regulations.

.....

TRUE COPY

Officer in Charge
Resident's Treasury,
Bangalore.

1/C

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

No.Pen/Verification

Accountant General's Office,

Dated 6th May 1932.

From
The Accountant General, Madras.

To
The Officer in charge of the
Hon'ble the Resident's
Treasury, Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honour to inform you that the application for pension of Mr. H. Subba Rao late clerk of the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury is being delayed for want of the information noted below. Where possible your answers should be written opposite to each point to which your attention is drawn.

2. The enclosures and your reply should be returned with the least possible delay.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd/-. Illegible.

ASSISTANT ACCOUNTS OFFICER.

Delay of over 16 years in submitting the pension application of H. Subba Rao by the Officer in charge of the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury has not been explained. It may now be explained and the sanction of the Hon'ble the Resident obtained.

2. As the clerk is stated to have been compelled to retire on account of abolition of his post it may also please be stated

(a) as to why it was not found possible to provide suitable employment to the person (vide Article 427 C.S.R).

(b) the amount of savings effected by the abolition of the post (vide Article

429 C.S.R)

(c) The number and date of the authority sanctioning the abolition of the post.

3. In the April return of 1897 the date of birth was given as 27-5-1872 and in subsequent returns it was noted as 29-5-1872 Please state whether the former date was incorrect.

.....

TRUE COPY

Officer in Charge
Resident's Treasury,
Bangalore.

1073

Submitted to the Collector, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore with the connected papers.



28-11-32.

TREASURY OFFICER,
BANGALORE.

Ref No: 7005-A-32 with connected papers

S.N. 3(d) infra

Forwarded to the Secretary to the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore.



Kindly see my reply No.5666-A-32 dated 11-11-32, copy attached. I do not recommend reconsideration of the pensioner's requests.

COLLECTOR.

1932

Encls.3.

3/a



Byrasamudram,
Bagalur Post,
Salem District.
22nd November, 1932.

To

THE HON'BLE THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE,
Bangalore.

THROUGH
The Officer in charge of the
Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury, Bangalore.

The humble petition of H.Subba Rao, retired Accountant of the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury, Bangalore, now residing at Byrasamudram, Bagalur Post, Salem District, humbly sheweth -

That your Honour's petitioner begs to submit for favourable consideration his hard case as follows :-

1. That the petitioner worked as an Assistant Accountant in the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury, Bangalore, from 1895 to his date of retirement when his services were terminated as a result of the cash transactions thereof having been made over to the then Bank of Madras, Bangalore.

2. That the petitioner is eligible for a compensation pension for his past services with effect from the date of the termination of his service, but unfortunately this has not been allowed to him and in its stead a compensation pension of Rs.5-13-0 per mensem has been sanctioned from the 22nd January,1932.(vide Treasury Officer's endorsement No.1174, dated 12th October,1932. Copy attached).

v S.N.3/b infra

3. That there had been a deficiency of 2 months and 18 days in his pensionable service and if this period had kindly been condoned, the petitioner would have been entitled to draw a sum of annas seven in addition to his pension already sanctioned. But this request was refused by the Collector and District Magistrate of the Station on the ground that it would result in only a trivial increase in the amount of pension already sanctioned (vide Treasury Officer's endorsement No.1377, dated 14th November 1932. Copy attached).

J S.N.3/d infra

4. That your Honour's petitioner now begs to submit that for the reasons already mentioned in his application dated the 24th October 1932 (vide copy attached) to the Treasury Officer

J N.3/c infra

Officer, the petitioner is eligible to draw the amount of compensation pension sanctioned in his favour with a retrospective effect instead of from the 22nd January,1932, if permitted. The petitioner humbly prays that the Hon'ble the Resident may graciously be pleased to view this hard and pitiable case sympathetically as a special one, and thus protect the interests of a poor pensioner of the Government and his large family by sanctioning the compensation pension admissible with a retrospective effect as allowed to the other employees who were thrown out of employment along with him.

5. That so far as the condonation of the deficiency of 2 months and 18 days is concerned, the petitioner begs to submit that the rules on the subject permit the condonation in hard cases analogous to that of the petitioner and to withhold the same on the score that it would result in only a trivial increase does not seem to be justifiable. Though the increase of annas seven in the amount of pension is considered trivial by Government, it is a great boon to a poor pensioner in these hard days, and the petitioner therefore prays that his humble request may also be kindly crowned with success by graciously according sanction to the same.

6. For which act of justice and benevolence your honour's humble petitioner shall ever be grateful.

A. Subba Rao

3/6

Copy of memorandum No.Pension S.288 of 1931-32 - 2963, dated the 4th October 1932, from the Accountant General, Madras, to the Officer in charge of the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury, Bangalore.

With reference to his letter No.2753 dated the 19th March 1932 forwarding the Pension papers of Mr. H.Subba Rao late clerk of the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury, Bangalore, the Officer in charge of the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury Bangalore is informed that a compensation pension of Rs.5-13-0 (Rupees five and annas thirteen only) per mensem has been found to be admissible and that arrangements are being made in communication with the Accountant General for issue of Pension Payment Order to the Bangalore Treasury for payment of the pension from the 22nd January 1932 to the applicant who should be instructed to appear at the above treasury to receive payment. The pension is debitable to the Mysore Residency - Central Revenues.

The pensioner should be informed that should the amount referred to be afterwards found to be in excess of that to which he is entitled under the rules he will be called upon to refund such excess.

The pension papers are herewith returned with the exception of the following which are necessary for issue of Pension Payment Order.

(a) First page of application for pension.
(b) Left hand thumb and finger impressions of the applicant.
(c) Last pay certificate of the applicant.
(d) Facsimile of applicant's signature.

No.Pen.1174, dated the 12th October 1932.

Copy communicated to Mr.H.Subba Rao for information.

Sd. Annachala Iyer
for TREASURY OFFICER,
BANGALORE.

Byrasandram
Bangalore post,
Salem District.

Copy
H.Subba Rao

3/C

Application dated the 24th October 1932 from Mr. H. Subba Rao to the Officer in charge of the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury, Bangalore.

I respectfully beg leave once again to represent my hard case to your Honor's benign consideration with the earnest hope that your Honor will be pleased to take pity on a poor man and redress my grievances.

As already represented, I was thrown out of employment not at my own request but on account of the amalgamation of the cash transactions of the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury with that of the then Bank of Madras, Bangalore. In giving effect to the above arrangements, a few of my co-officials were also thrown out of employment along with me. As a matter of fact we all applied to the then Treasury Officer to provide us elsewhere as we were young and quite capable of discharging official duties if bestowed upon us. These applications were in the usual course, circulated to the heads of the Residency Offices for favourable consideration.

I further beg leave to represent that almost all such applicants got their pensions sanctioned in the usualcourse except myself and some of them got re-employmentsalso. They are still in service viz. (1) Mr. Venkataramiah now working as Treasurer, Resident's Treasury and (2) Syed Abdul Rahiman Sahib, now a clerk in the Court of the Bench of Magistrates Civil and Military Station and that the original pensions sanctioned in their favour have since been surrendered according to the rules.

It is a misfortune that the same treatment was not been meted out to me although I was constantly calling upon the successive Treasury Officers to enquire after my application for re-employment. The reasons for withholding the grant of pension in my case especially, if no re-employment could be effected as desired by me, are unintelligible. It will however be seen that there was no wilful delay or neglect on my part in seeking redress for premature

termination

termination of my service and I pray that I may kindly be exonerated of the charges brought home to me to be deprived of the accumulated arrears of my hard earned but poor pension of Rs.5-13-0 per mensem with effect from the date of my having been thrown out of employment in the circumstances represented above.

In this connection, I beg to submit that a monthly pension of Rs.5-13-0 has since been sanctioned in my favour for my past services of 14 years and 9 months and this can be raised to a whole figure, if a period of three months is very kindly condoned, which is admissible under the rules. I pray that may request may kindly be granted.

In conclusion, I earnestly pray that your Honor will be pleased to kindly move the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore to consider my hard case favourably and pass orders to enable me to draw my pension with effect from the date of the abolition of my appointment as allowed to my co-workers and also to kindly condone the period of three months' service referred to.

For which act of benevolence and justice, I shall as in duty bound ever remain thankful.

" C O P Y "

H. Subba Rao

No 81 5th Jan 1933

From

Secretary to the Resident.

To

The Collector,
C. & M. Station, Bangalore.

S.N.3 Ptt.C
and C

S.N 3/a
and C

Reference:- Your endorsement No.7005-A-32 dated the 1st December, 1932, forwarding a petition dated the 22nd November, 1932, from Mr.H.Subba Rao, Retired Accountant of the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury, Bangalore, requesting ~~that~~ sanction (1) to the payment of ~~the~~ his pension from the date of retirement and (2) to the condonation of a deficiency of 2 months and 18 days to make his qualifying service 15 years.

The Hon'ble the Resident regrets that he is unable to sanction the payment of the pension from the date of retirement. It shall take effect only from the date of application. He is however pleased, under Article 423(1) of the Civil Service Regulations, to condone a deficiency of 2 months and 18 days in the qualifying service of the applicant to enable him to draw a pension of Rs.6-4-0 instead of Rs.5-13-0.

6/21/12

SECRETARY TO THE RESIDENT.

Typed & Exd.
4.1.33.

MEMORANDUM.

No. 517 ca 2 fds

From The Secretary to the Resident.

Bangalore, dated 20th Jan 1933

To The Officer in charge of the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury, Bangalore.

S.N. 5 annas

Reference your telephone message dated the 11th January 1933. Your files sent in connection with the pension application of Mr. M. Subba Rao, Clerk, Resident's Treasury, are returned herewith, with thanks.

For Secy. to the Resident.

S. Singaravelan
12-1-33

1
Jc
1873

7

Byrasandram,
Bagalur Post,
Salem District,
16th February 1933.

To



The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident
in Mysore,
BANGALORE.

Honoured Sir,

I respectfully beg that Your Honour will be pleased to kindly cause the attached Memorial to be submitted to His Excellency the Viceroy and Governor-General of India through the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore.

For which, I shall ever be grateful.

I beg to remain,
Honoured Sir,
Your Honour's most obedient
servant,

H. Subba Rao

Retired Accountant
The Honble the Resident's Treasury
Bangalore

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary to the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore, by designation and not by name.

No. 2788 Dated Bangalore, 7th April 1933

From

SECRETARY TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

Mr. H. Subba Rao.,
Byrasandram, Bagalur Post,
Salem District.

Reference ~~his~~ your ~~letter~~ memo No. --- dated the 16th Feby. 1933.

Subject. Memorial to H.E. the Viceroy and Governor General of India.

The memorial is returned herewith for submission through the officer in charge of the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury and the -- Collector, J.& M. Station, Bangalore. A copy of rule 6 of the Home Department's Memorial Rules is enclosed.

Secretary to the Resident.

6 40

THE HON'BLE THE RESIDENT'S TREASURY,
BANGALORE.

Dated the 28th April 1933.

No Tm
127 of 28.4

BANGALORE
21 APR 1933
No 2185-A/33

Forwarded to the Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore through the Collector, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

2. With reference to para 4 of the memorial a kind reference is invited to this office letters No.225 dated 11th May 1932 and 1311 dated 3rd November 1932 to the Collector (copies placed below for ready reference) in which it has been stated that no information is available showing the exact reason for the non-submission of the pension paper of the petitioner.

× S.N. 1 of (A)

3. The petitioner's statement in sub para 2 of para 4 of his memorial that he had put in a petition for pension and re-employment therefore cannot be verified from the records of the Treasury as no papers are available.

Sd/- Ramaraman

TREASURY OFFICER,
BANGALORE.

OFFICE OF THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.
BANGALORE.
3 MAY 1933
Regd. No. 3956
No. 2185/33

N.Dis. 2185-A-33
Enc:18.

F O R W A R D E D.

1.V.33

COLLECTOR.

Mar 193

29/4

BYRASANDRAM.
BAGALUR POST, (Salem District.)
16th February 1933.

TO

The Right Hon'ble
Sir Freeman Thomas Baron Willingdon of Rattan, G. C. S. I., G. C. I. E., G. B. E.,
His Excellency The Viceroy and Governor-General of India,
DELHI.

May it please your Excellency,

The humble memorial of H. SUBBA RAO, retired Accountant of the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury, Bangalore, at present residing at BYRASANDRAM, Bagalur Post, (Salem Dist.)

HUMBLY SHEWETH,

1. That the Memorialist humbly begs leave to represent his hard and pitiable case to your Excellency's benign and favourable consideration with the earnest hope that the Memorialist's grievances will be redressed at your Excellency's generous hands.

2. That the Memorialist was employed as an Assistant Accountant in the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury, Bangalore and worked in that capacity from 1895 to January 1916 when his services were terminated as a result of the cash transactions thereof having been made over to the then Bank of Madras (now Imperial Bank of India), Bangalore.

3. That the Memorialist was eligible for a compensation pension with effect from 1916, that is, the date when his services terminated in the manner indicated. But unfortunately this has not been allowed to him and in its stead a compensation pension amounting to Rs. 6—4—0 has been sanctioned from the 22nd January 1932 — Vide Treasury Officer's Endorsements Nos. Pen. 1174 and Pen. 1685 dated 12th October 1932 and 12th January 1933 respectively, copies attached.

4. That the Memorialist further begs leave to represent that he was thrown out of employment for the reasons already mentioned and not at his own request. Officials thus thrown out of employment along with the Memorialist were granted pensions from the date of the termination of their services and subsequently provided with re-employments. They are still in service.

It is very deplorable that the Memorialist's case was totally ignored although he had, in time, put in an application for pension as well as for re-employment along with the other thrown out officials. It is not clear why the Memorialist alone should be subjected to these hardships. On the contrary, the other thrown out Officials have been provided with all the facilities in as much as they enjoyed their pensions admissible under the rules till they were re-employed and are now drawing salaries attached to their appointments. If the Memorialist's papers for pension were not submitted for sanction in time, it was not his mistake at all, and to deprive him of his hard earned but poor pension on that score from the date of the termination of his service does not hold good The omission on the part of the heads of the departments to submit the pension papers of the subordinates in time cannot be attributed to the subordinates themselves and their claims cannot therefore be ignored on that account.

5. That the Memorialist therefore humbly prays that your Excellency may graciously be pleased to cause justice to be done to a poor pensioner and thus redress his grievances by kindly according sanction to the grant of his pension from the date of the amalgamation of the Treasury with the Bank as was done to the other Officials thrown out along with him.

6. For which act of benevolence and justice, the Memorialist shall, as in duty bound, ever pray for your Excellency's long life and prosperity.

H. Subba Rao

SRI RAMA PRESS, BANGALORE CITY.

Copy of Memorandum No. Pension S. 288 of 1931-32—2963 dated the 4th October 1932, from the Accountant General, Madras, to the Officer in charge of the Honbl'e the Resident's Treasury, Bangalore.

———o———

With reference to his letter No. 2753 dated 19th March 1932 forwarding the pension papers of Mr H Subba Rao late clerk of the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury, Bangalore, the officer in charge of the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury, Bangalore is informed that a compensation pension of Rs. 5—13—0 (Rupees Five and annas Thirteen only) per mensem has been found to be admissible and that arrangements are being made in communication with the Accountant General for issue of Pension Payment Order to the Bangalore Treasury for payment of the pension from the 22nd January 1932 to the applicant who should be instructed to appear at the above Treasury to receive payment. The pension is debitable to the Mysore Residency Central Revenues.

The pensioner should be informed that should the amount referred to be afterwards found to be in excess of that to which he is entitled under the rules he will be called upon to refund each excess.

The pension papers are herewith returned with the exception of the following which are necessary for issue of pension payment order.

(a) First page of application for pension.

(b) Left hand thumb and finger impression of the applicant.

(c) Last pay certificate of the applicant

(d) Facsimile of the applicant's signature.

———o———

No. Pen. 1174, dated 12th October 1932.

Copy communicated to Mr. H. Subba Rao for information.

(Sd.) ARUNACHALA IYER,
for Treasury Officer,
Bangalore.

"Copy"
H. Subba Rao

SRI RAMA PRESS, BANGALORE CITY.

Copy of letter No.228 dated 11th May 1932, from the Officer in charge of the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury, Bangalore, to the Collector and District Magistrate, Bangalore.

.....

I have the honour to forward herewith, in original, a petition dated 22nd January 1932 from Mr. H. Subba Rao, retired Clerk, Resident's Treasury. A copy of this office letter No.2753 dated 21st March 1932, to the Accountant General, Madras and a copy of the latter's reply, dated 6th May 1932, are also forwarded herewith from which it appears that under Article 331, Civil Service Regulations the sanction of the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore is necessary for granting the pension from the date of retirement. Regarding the delay of over 16 years in submitting the pension claim, the pensioner's application explains the facts. The then Treasury Officers did not perhaps prepare the application as the pensioner was absent. Whatever the reasons it seems futile at this distance of time to enquire into them and attempt to give an explanation for its non-submission.

The office will furnish the information required by the Accountant General regarding points raised at paras 2 and 3 of his objection memo.

I request that orders of the Hon'ble the Resident as regards the date ffom which pension should be admitted may kindly be obtained and communicated to this office, when further action will be taken by me.

Roughly the pension due from February 1916 i.e., for over 16 years at Rs.6-13-0 per mensem is about Rs.1120/-. Evidently the applicant did not feel the need for his pension all these long years.

.....

Copy of letter No.T.1311, dated 3rd November 1932, to the Collector and District Magistrate, Bangalore.

.....

I have the honour to forward herewith a petition dated 24th October 1932 in original from Mr. H. Subba Rao, retired

clerk, Resident's Treasury, Bangalore.

With reference to memorandum No.5588/A/208/32 dated 23rd August 1932 from the Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore communicated in your endorsement No.4196-A-32 dated 24th August 1932 the pension of the applicant was sanctioned with effect from 22nd January 1932, the date of his application for pension. Mr. Subba Rao now requests in paras (1) to (3) of his representation to have his pension sanctioned with effect from the date of termination of his employment in 1916. As already pointed out by this office in letter No.2257 dated 31th May 1932 the then Treasury Officers did not prepare the pension application of the applicant for one cause or another. No information is available as to the exact reasons for the non-submission of the pension application. This office cannot therefore now recommend the application for the grant of pension with retrospective effect either way.

With reference to the pensioner's request in para 4 regarding condonation of a deficiency of about 3 months it is submitted that the total service of the applicant is 20 years 7 months of which the qualifying service for pension amounts to 14 years 9 months and 12 days. A pension of Rs.6-13-0 has been admitted for a qualifying service of 14 years, and if the deficiency of 2 months and 18 days to make up 15 whole years is condoned, a pension of Rs.6-4-0 will be due. As the amount of additional pension involved is only 0-7-0 I would recommend the condonation of this deficiency by the Collector under Article 423(1) Civil Service Regulations.

.....

"True Copies

SUPERINTENDENT,
THE HON'BLE THE RESIDENT'S
TREASURY, BANGALORE.

earlier. The Treasury Officer submitted the application to the Collector, Civil and Military Station, who forwarded it to
S.N.1 this office in May 1932 for orders as to the date from which the pension applied for should be admitted. The then Resident
S.N.2 was not satisfied with the explanation for the delay in applying for his pension, and accordingly declined to sanction the payment of pension from the date of retirement. He however, directed that, under Article 931 Civil Service Regulations, pension be granted to Mr. Subba Rao with effect from the 22nd January 1932, the date of his application.

S.N.3(c) On the 24th October 1932 Mr. Subba Rao again approached the Treasury Officer with the request that the Resident be moved again to give retrospective effect to his pension and also to sanction the condonation of a deficiency of 2 months and 18 days in his service, so that he might draw a pension of Rs.6-4-0 instead of Rs.5-13-0. The Collector, to whom the Treasury Officer forwarded
S.N.3(d). the petition for orders, saw no grounds to move the Resident in the matter.

S.N.3(a). On the 22nd November 1932 Mr. Subba Rao applied to this Residency for a reconsideration of the Collector's orders. The
S.N.4. then Resident regretted his inability to sanction the payment of the pension from the date of retirement and reaffirmed his original order that it should take effect only from the date of application. He was, however, pleased to condone, under Article 423(1) of the Civil Service Regulations, the deficiency of 2 months and 18 days in Mr. Subba Rao's qualifying service to enable him to draw a pension of Rs.6-4-0 instead of Rs.5-13-0.

Mr. Subba Rao has now submitted a memorial to the Government of India praying for the grant to him of pension from the date of his retirement. I am to request you kindly to let me know whether under Article 931, Civil Service Regulations, the

Hon'ble

No. Pen.S.288 of 31-3[illegible]/2491.

OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL MADRAS.

Fort St. George, 24th January *1934*.

Enclosures.

FROM

THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
(P. V. Section),
MADRAS,



To

The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident

in Mysore, Bangalore.

Subject:- Pension to Mr.H.Subba Rao, late clerk of the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury, Bangalore.

SIR,

S.N. 10 of A

In reply to your letter No.517/208-1932, dated the 16th January 1934 enquiring whether, under Article 931, Civil Service Regulations, the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore is competent to sanction a pension to the abovementioned clerk from the date of his retirement in 1916 in reconsideration of the orders already passed refusing the concession applied for, I have the honour to state that the matter is entirely at the discretion of the Hon'ble the Resident.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your obedient servant,

R.V.

Assistant Accounts Officer.

(12

DEPARTMENT.

BRANCH.

File No.

Serial No.

Draft ~~Letter~~ ~~Memorandum~~ ~~Telegram~~

Class for telegrams | Ordinary | Express | Urgent* | Priority | Class Line† | *Only for Foreign Telegrams. | †Not for Foreign Telegrams.

To be issued on	Issued on
1st Reminder	S.N.3 of A
2nd Reminder	
3rd Reminder	S.N.4 of -do-
Heading :	
Case or Diary No.	
If post copy of telegram required	S.N. 9 of -do-
Date of despatch of above	
List of enclosures	S.N.10 of -do-

(Code word for telegrams.)

S.N. 11
P.B.C.

No. 1904. Dated 8th March, 1934 19 .

Proceedings of the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore.

~~To~~

Read:-

Endt. No. 7005-A-32, dated the 1st Decr. 1932, from the Collector, C.& M. Station, Bangalore.

Memo. No. 81, dated the 5th Jany. 1933, to the Collector, C.& M. Stn., Bangalore.

Endt. No. 2185-A-33, dated the 2nd May 1933, from the Collector, C.& M. Station, Bangalore.

Letter No. 517, dated the 16th Jany 1934, to the Accountant General, Madras.

Letter No. Pen.S.288 of 31-32/2491, dated the 24th Jany. 1934, from the Accountant General, Madras.

ORDER

Under Article 931, Civil Service Regulations, the Hon'ble the Resident is pleased, on reconsidera tion, to sanction the payment of a pension of Rs. 6-4-0 (Rupees six and annas four only) per mensem to Mr. M. Subba Rao, retired clerk of the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury, Bangalore, from the date of his retirement

S. I.

MGIPS—81—2-1-(SPS) DSP—(M-260)—27-4-32—50,00,000-4-

208
A 1932
26/6/67

File No. 208/1932.

Collection No.

19 .

Mysore Residency.

Bangalore.

A

P & G

Pros. Serial Nos. 1-12

Subject.

Grant of Pension to Mr. H.Subba Rao, retired clerk.
Office of the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury, Bangalore.

Previous References.	**Later References**

B-87 (Unbleached)

MGIPC—S3—587 (Govt) EFF—(GSSR/A/M.587)—8-7-45—35,00,000.

Para 2 ante. (9)

It is submitted that there is no precedent available in Office.

Attention is, however, kindly invited to the portions marked in para 1 ante. The applicant states that when he was asked to take pension he did not do so (vide S.N.1/a) as he desired to be provided with some other appointment in the C & M Station. He was not provided with any such appointment and he explains that "several household calamities and other unforseen circumstances" prevented him from applying for pension.

It is submitted that the explanation for delay given by the applicant does not seem sufficient as it is improbable that the applicant could not have found time in 16 years to apply for pension.

Under Art 930 C.S.R. a pension is payable from the date on which the pensioner ceased to be borne on the establishment, or from the date of his application, whichever is later The Article further says that " the rule may be relaxed in this particular, by the authority sanctioning the pension when the delay is sufficiently explained".

It may further be pointed out that no provision has been made for this purpose in the Residency Budget for the curre year. The total amount of pension due is about Rs.1120/-.

Submitted for orders as to whether, in the circumstances, the pension may be sanctioned only from the date of applica tion i.e. the 22nd January, 1932.

22-6-32

(4) Article 930 C.S.R. quoted in the preceding notes applies

(5)

Paras 5 & 6 ante.

Draft submitted for approval.

Copy of

ba Rao's
cation to be
for file.

CMalasubralmanyan
15/8/32

15/8/32

Revised. May issue ?

R.
16.8.32

Sdg.

S.N.2 (A) Issued No,5588 dated the 23rd August,1932.

S.N. 3 (A) Endorsement No. 7005-A-32, dated the 28th November 1932, from the Collector, C.& M. Station, Bangalore.

(A) Please see S.N. 1 and 2. A pension of Rs.5-13-0 per mensem was sanctioned to Mr. Subba Rao with effect from the 22nd January 1932, the date of his application, for a qualifying service of 14 years 9 months and 12 days He submitted a petition on 24.10.32 to the Officer in charge of the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury, in which he made the following requests:-

(1) to sanction his pension from the date of his retirement i.e. from 4-2-16 instead of from 22-1-32.

(2) to condone the deficiency of 2 months and 18 days to make the qualifying service as 15 years.

The

(8)

in charge of the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury, -- and the Collector, C.& M. Station, Bangalore, who is the controlling officer of the Treasury.

May the draft issue please ?

(From para 12)

S. Singaroyan
15-3-33

R 15/3

Seen. Let him What the channel is

A revised draft is submitted.

S. Singaroyan
20-3-33

R 20/3

8 d (A) Issued No. 2788, dated the 7th April 1933.

therefor. For orders.

(10)

(From para. 1)

20.5.53

Ledg (3) de p. 6 of chapt. A.
To what extent are Govt.
responsible for collecting
attachment of pensioners' (s)
their rights & claims
for a pension?

26
2 6 8

P.T.O.

(12)

the pensioner from his statutory responsibilities.

(6) If necessary, the Financial Adviser may see the above notes u.o. for remarks if any.

26.9.33

(From para. 3)

Seen and returned with thanks.

(7) I would point out however that the remark at 'A' on page 6 might apply to the Officer in charge of the Treasury at the time that the event occured but not to his successors, as in the ordinary course of things, they could have no knowledge of the matter.

30.9.33

THE RESIDENT'S TREASURY
No. 28
28 SEP. 1933
BANGALORE.

Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore
U.O. No. 57 dated the 30th September 1933.

OF THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE
BANGALORE.
2 OCT 1933
No. 8483
No.

(8) For orders whether the draft may

(from para

7.10.33 (9) With reference to F.A.'s remarks in para. 7 ante the portion marked A on page 6 of the draft has been slightly amended in penal.

The draft may now issue?

(10) May (Shri!

11.X.33

(From para. 1)

12

(11) (13)

If, as it appears, there is no doubt that the Petr. would ordinarily have been entitled to pension from the date of retirement I feel that he should not be deprived of his pension during the period between date of retirement & application, particularly as he was retrenched. It is, I consider, difficult to justify the withholding for a right such as this.

It is true - & this is the main difficulty in this case - that the Petr's reason for delay in applying for ~~pension~~ pension is not very satisfactory & convincing. Does or does not this debar me from sanctioning pension from date of retirement?

L.
30/xii/23

Sec. E 24
26/xii/23

(12)

Article 931 C.S.R. lays down that if, under special circumstances, a pension is granted long after an officer has retired, retrospective effect should not be given to it without the special orders of the Government* which granted it. It does not appear that, in passing such special orders, any reasons should be recorded. If, therefore, the Resident is so inclined, there is nothing in the rules to debar him from sanctioning the pension from the date of retirement. As the point ~~involved~~ raised is an important one, involving the revision of the orders already passed, it seems advisable to consult the Financial Adviser u.o. whether, in his opinion, there would be any audit objection to the pension being now sanctioned from the date of retirement.

(From para 11)

Please
Sec. E 30/xii R 30/xii
Sec. E 1/1/24

(15)

(16) Draft to the Accountant General, Madras, submitted.

(From para 13)

S. Singaroyan
11-1-34

T.N.K.
11.1.34.

Seey

Issued No. 517, dated the 16th January 1934.

S.N. 10

Letter No. Pen.S.288 of 31-32/2491, dated 24-1-34 from the Accountant General, Madras.

S.N. 11
P.U.C.

Please see S.N. 10

Section A

(17) Draft order submitted for approval.

(From para 17)

S. Singaroyan
4-2-34

T.K.N.
5.2.34

Seey

(18) Are there any similar cases like this in our records?

(19) No other cases are traceable in our records. May the draft already put up issue please ?

(From para 17)

S. Singaroyan
11-2-34

T.N.K.
13.2.34

Seey

Rpdt. Cert seen at para. 11.

The draft may now issue? (17)

Lbne

1.3.34

Rpdt

My note in para 11 was written after I had gone through the papers carefully. I do not consider that in this case Govt. would be justified in refusing to let Petr. draw his pension from date of retrenchment. Draft may issue.

[illegible]

[illegible]

.12. Issued No. 1904 dated the 9th March, 1934,

Memorandum.

5588 Encl

The Secretary to the Hon'ble
the Resident in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Bangalore, dated 28th August, 1932.

To The Collector,
Civil & Military Station,
Bangalore.

S.N.I ante

Reference:- Your endorsement Ref.No.2452-A-32, dated the 12th arding a copy of letter No.225, dated the 11th May, 1932 from the Officer in charge of the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury, requesting orders as to the date from which pension should be paid to Mr. H. Subba Rao, Clerk, Resident's Treasury, who retired from the 4th February, 1916 on account of the abolition of his post.

The Hon'ble the Resident is not satisfied with the pensioner's explanation for delay in applying for his pension and regrets therefore that he is unable to sanction the payment of pension from the date of retirement. He is however pleased to direct that under Article 931 C.S.R. pension be granted to him with effect from the 22nd January, 1932, the date of his application.

2. The application (in original) is returned herewith.

ante

be kept for
lle.

SECRETARY TO THE RESIDENT.

1652

(30) 3/a

Copy of memorandum No.5666-A-32, dated the 11th November 1932, from the Collector and District Magistrate, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, to the Officer in charge of the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury, Bangalore.

Pension - Subba Rao H (Mr) - Your No.Estt.T.1311 dated the 2nd November 1932.

I see no grounds to recommend any reconsideration of the Resident's order refusing to sanction the payment of pension from the date of retirement.

I regret I see no reason, at this late date, to condone the deficiency of 2 months and 18 days, which would result in only a trivial increase in the amount of the pension sanctioned.

I return the enclosure to your letter.

THE HON'BLE THE RESIDENT'S TREASURY, BANGALORE.

No.1377

14th November 1932.

Copy to Mr. H. Subba Rao, for information.

Sd. S. Kuppusawmi Naid

TREASURY OFFICER, BANGALORE.

Copy

H Subba Rao

TELEPHONE MESSAGE.

(38)

From

The Officer in Charge,

The Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury

To

The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident.

Please see Memo. No.81, dated the 5th January 1933, from the Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident to the Collector, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, regarding the pension application of Mr. H. Subba Rao, Clerk, Resident's Treasury. The file in original sent from this office for reference may please be returned.

1-15 P.M.

12/1/33

Draft submitted.

S. Singaravan
12-1-33

13/1

(59)

Copy of memorandum No. 81 dated 8th January 1933 from the Secretary to on'ble the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore to the Collector, Civil and ary Station, Bangalore.

---

ence:—Your Endorsement No. 7005-A-32 dated the 1st December 1932, forwarding a petition dated the 22nd November 1932 from Mr. H. Subba Rao, retired Accountant of the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury, Bangalore, requesting sanction (1) to the payment of his pension from the date of retirement and (2) to the condonation of a deficiency of 2 months and 18 days to make his qualifying service 15 years.

The Hon'ble the Resident regrets that he is unable to sanction the ent of the pension from the date of retirement. He is however pleased, article 423 (1) of the Civil Service Regulations to condone a deficiency of onths and 18 days in the qualifying service of the applicant to enable him w a pension of Rs. 6—4—0 instead of Rs. 5—13—0.

Copy of Endorsement No. 7005-A-32 dated 7th January 1933 received the Collector, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, to the officer in e of the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury, Bangalore.

Copy forwarded to the Treasury Officer, Bangalore, with reference to o. 1473 dated 26th November 1932.

The enclosures received with his letter were forwarded to the Secretary Resident but have not been returned.

No. Pen. 1685, dated 12th January 1933.

Copy of the Collector's Endorsement No. 7005-A-32 dated 7th January with a copy of the Resident's Memo. No. 81 dated 5th January 1933 from ecretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore, forwarded to . Subba Rao for information.

(Sd.) ARUNACHALA IYER,
for Treasury Officer,
Bangalore.

"Copy"
H. Subba Rao

All Communications should be addressed to the Secretary to the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore, by designation and not by name.

No. 517
208-1932

FROM

Major G. Kirkbride, I.A.,

SECRETARY TO THE HON'BLE THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Accountant General,

M a d r a s.

Dated, Bangalore, the 16th January 1934

Subject.

Pension to Mr. H. Subba Rao, late clerk of the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury, Bangalore.

--

SIR,

I am directed to state that owing to the transfer of the cash transactions of the Hon'ble the Resident's Treasury to the Bank of Madras (now the Imperial Bank of India) in January 1916, the Treasury establishment was considerably reduced. Eight out of the eleven posts were abolished, and out of the eight officials affected only one could be provided with another appointment. Mr. H. Subba Rao was one of the seven for whom no suitable appointment could be provided. He was retired from 4th February 1916 and was eligible for a pension of Rs.5-13-0 per mensem, having put in a qualifying service of 14 years 9 months and 12 days. There are no papers in the records of the Treasury to show either that Mr. Subba Rao had put in, at the time, any claim for his pension or that the then Treasury Officer had prepared and submitted his pension papers.

On the 22nd January 1932 Mr. Subba Rao applied to the Treasury Officer for the payment of his pension with effect from the date of his retirement i.e., 4th February 1916 explaining that, "owing to several household calamities and other unforeseen circumstances" he could not put in his application

earlier

Hon'ble the Resident is competent to sanction the pension to Mr. Subba Rao from the date of his retirement, reconsidering the orders already passed.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Major,
SECRETARY TO THE RESIDENT.

GS.

retirement in January 1916.

Secy. to the Resident.

To

The Collector,
C.& M. Station, Bangalore.

The Accountant General, Madras.

S. Singaroyan
24.2.34

24.2.34

6/3/34

7/3/34